

## FUTURE FISHERIES PROJECT RANKING WINTER 2013 FUNDING CYCLE

Project ranking committee:

Mark Lere, MFWP; Jim Darling, MFWP; and Rick Arnold, Citizen Panel Representative

The Committee's ranking of the Future Fisheries Projects approved for funding by the Citizen Review Panel during the WINTER 2013 funding cycle are as follows. Bolded projects fall under the Bull Trout and Cutthroat Trout Enhancement Program (RIT dollars). Non-bolded projects fall under the Future Fisheries Improvement Program (License dollars):

PROJECT #	PROJECT NAME	PROGRAM COST	FINAL RANK
<b>015-13</b>	<b>SF Poorman Creek road relocation</b>	<b>\$16,000.00</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>012-13</b>	<b>Sawpit Creek mine reclamation</b>	<b>\$28,200.00</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>013-13</b>	<b>Shields River Chadbourne diversion</b>	<b>\$126,949.00</b>	<b>3 (tie)</b>
<b>004-13</b>	<b>Kennedy Creek mine reclamation</b>	<b>\$37,240.00</b>	<b>3 (tie)</b>
011-13	Poindexter Slough restoration	\$63,643.00	5
<b>010-13</b>	<b>Pearson Creek channel restoration</b>	<b>\$35,000.00</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>002-13</b>	<b>Dry Fork Belt Creek fish barrier</b>	<b>\$10,000.00</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>017-13</b>	<b>South Woodward Creek bridge repair</b>	<b>\$24,600.00</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>003-13</b>	<b>Harvey Creek diversion &amp; fence</b>	<b>\$16,126.00</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>007-13</b>	<b>Lost Horse Creek siphon</b>	<b>\$93,500.00</b>	<b>10 (tie)</b>
<b>005-13</b>	<b>Klondike Creek culvert fish passage</b>	<b>\$26,000.00</b>	<b>10 (tie)</b>
<b>008-13</b>	<b>McVey Creek riparian fence &amp; bridge</b>	<b>\$17,480.00</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>016-13</b>	<b>SF Sixteenmile Creek fish barrier</b>	<b>\$79,752.00</b>	<b>13</b>
009-13	Moose Creek riparian fence	\$5,000.00	14
001-13	California Creek sediment reduction	\$26,000.00	15
006-13	Lost Creek in-stream flow	\$6,000.00	16

Rankings are based on: 1) benefits to native fish; 2) public benefits; 3) importance of the water body; 4) long-term effectiveness; 5) benefits relative to cost; and 6) cost share.

**The ranking committee is recommending that all projects receive final funding approval, except for California Creek.** License funding balances would be deficient by \$20,000.00, if funding for California Creek were approved. The Lost Creek project is recommended for funding, even though it ranks last, because funding balances are sufficient to cover the request.